



**An international multi-centre appraisal of the management of acute  
CHOLEcystitis during the COVID-19 pandemic: The CHOLECOVID audit.**

Final Study Protocol Version 1 20th May 2020

**CHOLECOVID Collaborative**

**Steering Group:** <sup>1,2</sup>Spiers HVM, <sup>1,2</sup>Goldsworthy M, <sup>1</sup>Ahari D, <sup>1</sup>Argus L, <sup>2</sup>Varley R, <sup>3</sup>Kamarajah S, <sup>4</sup>McLean K, <sup>5</sup>Coe P, <sup>6</sup>Rashid M, <sup>7</sup>Griffiths EA, <sup>2</sup>Chan AKC, <sup>2</sup>Macutkiewicz C, <sup>2</sup>Jamdar S, <sup>8</sup>Wilson M, <sup>9</sup>Fullwood C, <sup>10,11</sup>Toogood G and <sup>1,2</sup>Siriwardena AK.

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester; <sup>2</sup>Regional Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Unit and Department of General Surgery, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester UK; <sup>3</sup>Northern Oesophago-Gastric Cancer Unit, Royal Victoria Infirmary UK, Newcastle-upon-Tyne, UK; <sup>4</sup>Department of Clinical Surgery, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK; <sup>5</sup>Department of Oesophagogastric surgery, St James' Hospital, Leeds, UK; <sup>6</sup>Department of General Surgery, Victoria Hospital Kirkcaldy, Kirkcaldy, UK; <sup>7</sup>Department of Upper Gastrointestinal Surgery, Queen Elizabeth Hospital, Birmingham, UK; <sup>8</sup>Department of General Surgery, Forth Valley Royal Hospital, Larbert, UK; <sup>9</sup>Research and Innovation, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, <sup>10</sup>Regional Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary and Liver transplant service, St. James' Hospital, Leeds, UK; <sup>11</sup>University of Leeds, Leeds, UK.

**Corresponding Author: Professor Ajith Siriwardena MD FRCS. Regional Hepato-Pancreato-Biliary Unit and Department of General Surgery, Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester UK**

Email: [choleccovid@gmail.com](mailto:choleccovid@gmail.com)

Twitter: @CHOLECOVID

## Introduction

Acute cholecystitis is inflammation of the gallbladder, typically due to gallstones [1,2]. Internationally accepted guidelines provide information on standards for diagnosis and optimum management [3,4]. In patients without major co-morbidity, laparoscopic cholecystectomy during the index admission is the recommended treatment for acute cholecystitis [5,6,7]. A meta-analysis of randomized trials demonstrated that delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy increased the total hospital stay compared to an early laparoscopic cholecystectomy after acute cholecystitis [8]. Treatment with antibiotics may be used as a temporising option or as an attempt to control symptoms in patients who are unfit for surgery. Radiologically guided percutaneous cholecystostomy can also be a treatment option in patients who are unfit for surgery [9]. Percutaneous cholecystostomy is a recognised alternative treatment to cholecystectomy in high-risk patients and can be used as a definitive option [10,11,12]. Although evidence is limited, this option is supported by international guidelines [13]. The only randomised controlled trial to compare laparoscopic cholecystectomy to percutaneous cholecystostomy reported complications in 44 of the 68 patients (65%) in the percutaneous drainage arm compared to 8 of the 66 patients (12%) in the group undergoing surgery [14].

The outbreak of the novel coronavirus, Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2 or COVID-19), has posed a significant challenge to surgical healthcare systems across the world [15]. The World Health Organization declared a global pandemic due to SARS-CoV-2 on 12<sup>th</sup> March 2020 [16].

To cope with this unprecedented pandemic, healthcare systems across the world cut back or completely stopped elective surgery, reduced non-elective surgery and adopted non-surgical modes of treatment. In the United Kingdom, the Royal College of Surgeons of England advised that non-operative treatment options should be considered wherever possible for emergency presentations [17]. In the case of acute cholecystitis, recommended non-operative management constitutes antibiotics

alone, with percutaneous cholecystostomy in select patients [17]. Similar guidance was provided by the American College of Surgeons [18] and the Royal Australasian College of Surgeons [19].

This study is an audit of the hospital management of patients with acute cholecystitis during the time of the COVID-19 pandemic. The audit assesses treatment options and compares outcome to the reference standard of the Tokyo guidelines [3,4].

## **Methods**

### ***Summary***

'Mini-teams' of collaborators, with members ranging from medical students and trainees/residents, to consultants, will participate at each hospital. They will retrospectively collect data on patients with acute cholecystitis during two separate data periods. Each centre will be required to complete a survey detailing their local acute cholecystitis management practices.

### ***Design***

CHOLECOVID is an international multi-centre audit.

### ***Setting***

CHOLECOVID is open to any hospital in the world that treats patients with acute cholecystitis. In order to describe local processes and resources, each site will be asked to complete an online site profile questionnaire to understand local management of acute cholecystitis. All participating centres will be required to register the study according to local regulations, evidence of which will be uploaded onto REDCap prior to commencement of data collection from each respective site.

### ***Patients***

Patients admitted to hospital with a clinical diagnosis of acute cholecystitis constitute the study population.

### ***Definition of acute cholecystitis***

Acute inflammation of the gallbladder with pain for over 24 hours, often with systemic upset (pyrexia, tachycardia), elevated white cell count (WCC), elevated c-reactive protein (CRP), and at least one imaging modality with findings characteristic of acute cholecystitis [3,4].

*Inclusion criteria:*

- Adult patients (greater than or including 18 years of age)
- Clinical features of acute cholecystitis including right upper quadrant pain, pyrexia and/or raised inflammatory markers (WCC, CRP)
- Documented diagnosis of acute cholecystitis as demonstrated by at least one radiological test (USS, MRCP or Computed Tomography (CT))

*Exclusion criteria:*

- Less than 18 years of age

**Data collection**

Data will be collected and stored online via the Research Electronic Data Capture (REDCap) web application [20,21], hosted and managed by the University of Manchester, United Kingdom. No patient identifiable data will be uploaded or stored on the REDCap database. A designated collaborator (principal investigator - PI) and maximum of 4 co-collaborators will be identified per site, making a total of 5 collaborators at each participating site. Collaborators at each participating site will retrospectively collect data covering admissions with acute cholecystitis from the date of declaration of the pandemic for 2 months (12<sup>th</sup> March 2020 – 12<sup>th</sup> May 2020) with a 30-day follow-up period to allow estimation of 30-day mortality. Data will also be collected for a 2 month period prior to the declaration of the pandemic (September 12<sup>th</sup> 2019 – November 12<sup>th</sup> 2019) with a 30-day follow-up period to allow for comparison.

Data will be collected in the following categories:

1. Demographics
2. Diagnosis
3. Intervention

4. COVID-19 status on admission, during in-patient course and after discharge
5. Outcome

### ***Local Project Registration***

If the option is available, this project should be registered as a clinical audit or service evaluation. In some countries, it may be necessary to obtain formal research ethics approval. It is the responsibility of each local mini-team at each hospital to ensure the study is registered appropriately, according to local regulations. Confirmation of this must be uploaded to REDCap before data collection is permitted.

Possible ways to register this study include:

- Clinical audit. The audit standard used should be that of the Tokyo Guidelines 2018 for management of acute cholecystitis (Appendix B).
- Service evaluation
- Research (e.g. research ethics committee or institutional review board approvals)

Principal investigators should discuss with their head of department to expedite the approval process wherever possible, in view of the urgency of the global pandemic. Regardless of the approval pathway chosen, it should be stressed that this is an **investigator-led, non-commercial** study, which requires **no changes to normal patient care** and only **routinely available non-identifiable data** will be collected. No patient identifiable data will be uploaded or stored on the REDCap database. Patient consent does not need to be sought prior to data collection.

### ***Ethics***

The NHS Health Research Authority questionnaire (<http://www.hra-decisiontools.org.uk/research/>; accessed 1<sup>st</sup> May 2020) deemed that this study was not research as the participants are not randomized to different groups, there is no change in treatment or patient care and the findings cannot be regarded as wholly generalizable. The primary investigator at each site is responsible for obtaining

necessary local approvals (e.g. audit approval, service evaluation, research ethics committee or institutional review board approval). It will not be possible for collaborators to upload data to REDCap until evidence is provided by the hospital's local lead that the following approvals are in place at each centre:

1. Successful registration of CHOLECOVID study.
2. Caldicott Guardian (or equivalent) permission for data to be submitted to REDCap.

### ***Analysis plan***

Data will be reported using descriptive analysis. Comparisons between groups and to reference standards will be undertaken using appropriate non-parametric analyses.

### ***Authorship***


All local collaborators who collect and input data into REDCap will be eligible for PubMed-citable co-authorship as collaborators, providing >95% of data points are entered. Centres with >5% of missing data will be excluded from the analysis and the contributing data collectors excluded from authorship. Sponsorship through the audit approval process by a consultant does not constitute authorship, nor does inclusion of a consultants' patients in the audit serve sufficient for authorship. Authorship will be in accordance with the National Research Collaborative Authorship guidelines [24].

### ***Expected Outputs***

All data will be reported as a whole cohort. This project will be submitted for presentation at national and international conferences. Manuscript(s) will be prepared following close of the project.

**Appendix A - CHOLECOVID Data Collection Proforma**

SECTION ONE: BASELINE DEMOGRAPHICS	
Date of Completion of form	
Study number	
Hospital Number	(not to be uploaded to RedCAP)
Age	
Gender	<input type="checkbox"/> Male <input type="checkbox"/> Female
Pregnant	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

	Co-Morbidity	Scoring
	Previous Myocardial Infarction	1 Point
	Congestive Heart Failure	1 Point
	Peripheral Vascular Disease	1 Point
	Previous CVA	1 Point
	Dementia	1 Point
	COPD	1 Point
	Connective Tissue Disease	1 Point
	Peptic Ulcer Disease	1 Point
	Diabetes Mellitus	1 Point (Uncomplicated) 2 Points (End-Organ Damage)
	Moderate to Severe Chronic Kidney Disease	2 Points
	Hemiplegia	2 Points
	Leukaemia	2 Points
	Malignant Lymphoma	2 Points
	Solid Tumour with Metastasis	6 Points
	Liver Disease	1 Point (Mild) 3 Points (Moderate to Severe)
	AIDS	6 Points
	Age	0 Points (40 or less Years) 1 Point (41 – 50 Years) 2 Points (51 – 60 Years) 3 Points (61 – 70 Years) 4 Points (71 or more Years)



	<b>TOTAL CHARLSON CO-MORBIDTY INDEX</b>	
--	---	--

SECTION TWO: DIAGNOSIS		
Admission Bloods		
Haemoglobin (g/L)		
WCC (x 10 <sup>9</sup> /L)		
CRP (mg/L)		
Urea (mmol/L)		
Creatinine (µmol/L)		
Alkaline Phosphatase (IU/L)		
Alanine Transaminase (IU/L)		
Bilirubin (µmol/L)		
Internationalised Normal Ratio		
Radiological Investigations		
Abdominal Ultrasound (US)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Day post-admission:
US Findings	<input type="checkbox"/> Gallstones <input type="checkbox"/> Acute cholecystitis <input type="checkbox"/> CBD Stone / biliary obstruction <input type="checkbox"/> No acute findings	
Computed Tomography (CT)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Day post-admission:
CT Findings	<input type="checkbox"/> Gallstones <input type="checkbox"/> Acute cholecystitis <input type="checkbox"/> CBD Stone / biliary obstruction <input type="checkbox"/> No acute findings	
Magnetic Resonance Cholangio Pancreatography (MRCP)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Day post-admission:
MRCP Findings	<input type="checkbox"/> Gallstones <input type="checkbox"/> CBD Stone / biliary obstruction	
ERCP for CBD Stone	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Diagnosis &amp; Grading</b>	
<b>Tokyo Diagnostic Criteria*</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Suspected Diagnosis <input type="checkbox"/> Definite Diagnosis
<b>Tokyo Severity Grade**</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade I <input type="checkbox"/> Grade II <input type="checkbox"/> Grade III

\*A. Local signs of inflammation (1) Murphy’s sign, (2) RUQ mass/pain/tenderness

B. Systemic signs of inflammation (1) Fever, (2) elevated CRP, (3) elevated WBC count

C. Imaging findings characteristic of acute cholecystitis

**Suspected diagnosis:** one item in A + one item in B

**Definite diagnosis:** one item in A + one item in B + C

**\*\*Grade I (mild):** No organ dysfunction and mild inflammatory changes in the gallbladder

**Grade II (moderate):** Associated with any one of the following conditions:

(1) Elevated WBC count (>18,000/mm<sup>3</sup>)

(2) Palpable tender mass in RUQ

(3) Duration >72h

(4) Marked local inflammation (gangrenous/emphysematous cholecystitis, pericholecystic/hepatic abscess, biliary peritonitis)

**Grade III (severe):** Associated with dysfunction of any one of the following organs/systems:

(1) Cardiovascular: hypotension requiring treatment with dopamine  $\geq 5$  lg/kg per min, or any dose of norepinephrine

(2) Neurological: decreased level of consciousness

(3) Respiratory: PaO<sub>2</sub>/FiO<sub>2</sub>ratio <300

(4) Renal dysfunction: oliguria, creatinine >2.0 mg/dl

(5) Hepatic dysfunction: PT-INR >1.5

(6) Haematological: platelet count <100,000/mm<sup>3</sup>

SECTION THREE: INTERVENTION		
<b>Conservative Management Only</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>If yes to conservative management were antibiotics used?</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Cholecystectomy		
<b>IP Cholecystectomy Performed</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Cholecystectomy during index admission</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Date of Surgery</b>		
<b>Surgical Details</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Cholecystectomy <input type="checkbox"/> Subtotal Cholecystectomy <input type="checkbox"/> Abandoned intraoperatively	
<b>Surgical Modality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Laparoscopic <input type="checkbox"/> Laparoscopic converted to Open <input type="checkbox"/> Open	
<b>Postoperative complications (Clavien-Dindo grading)</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade I <input type="checkbox"/> Grade II <input type="checkbox"/> Grade IIIA <input type="checkbox"/> Grade IIIB <input type="checkbox"/> Grade IV <input type="checkbox"/> Grade V	
<b>Bile leak/duct injury</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
Cholecystostomy		
<b>IP Cholecystostomy inserted</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Date of Insertion</b>		
<b>Approach</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Transhepatic <input type="checkbox"/> Transperitoneal	
<b>Tube size (Ch)</b>		
<b>Tubogram</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Complications</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Dislodgement <input type="checkbox"/> Bleed <input type="checkbox"/> Occlusion <input type="checkbox"/> Bile Leak <input type="checkbox"/> Intra-abdominal collection <input type="checkbox"/> Viscus perforation	

<b>SECTION FOUR: Peri-operative COVID-19 STATUS</b> (Seven days before admission or within 30 days of admission).	
<b>COVID-19 Status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown
<b>COVID-19 Diagnosis</b>	
<b>COVID Nasopharyngeal Swab</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Test not performed
<b>COVID Chest CT</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Test not performed
<b>COVID Clinical diagnosis</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Negative <input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Not documented
<b>Interval between swab/CT and surgery</b>	

SECTION FIVE: OUTCOMES	
<b>Perioperative</b>	
Death during index admission	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Date of death during index admission (days post-admission)	
Death within 30 days of discharge	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Date of death after discharge (days post-discharge)	
Length of stay (days)	
<b>Follow-up</b>	
Unplanned readmission within 30 days	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Discharged following Conservative Management</b>	
Number of unplanned readmissions within the follow up period	
Cholecystectomy planned	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes – booked for elective cholecystectomy <input type="checkbox"/> No – to reassess in Outpatient Department <input type="checkbox"/> No – definitive management <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear from current notes
<b>Discharged with Cholecystostomy</b>	
Discharged with cholecystostomy	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Number of unplanned readmissions within the follow up period	
Duration of cholecystostomy in days (if known)	
Cholecystectomy planned	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes – booked for elective cholecystectomy <input type="checkbox"/> No – to reassess in Outpatient Department <input type="checkbox"/> No – definitive management <input type="checkbox"/> Unclear from current notes <input type="checkbox"/> Not applicable as had index admission cholecystectomy.

**Appendix B - Audit Standard: Tokyo Guidelines 2018 for management of acute cholecystitis**

'We propose that the treatment strategy be considered and chosen after an assessment has been made of cholecystitis severity, the patient's general status and underlying disease.

Grade I (mild) AC: Lap-C should ideally be performed soon after onset if the CCI and ASA-PS scores suggest the patient can withstand surgery. If it is decided that the patient cannot withstand surgery, conservative treatment should be performed at first and delayed surgery considered once treatment is seen to take effect.

Grade II (moderate) AC: Lap-C should ideally be performed soon after onset if the CCI and ASA-PS scores suggest the patient can withstand surgery and the patient is in an advanced surgical center. However, particular care should be taken to avoid injury during surgery and a switch to open or subtotal cholecystectomy should be considered depending on the findings. If it is decided that the patient cannot withstand surgery, conservative treatment and biliary drainage should be considered.

Grade III (severe) AC: The degree of organ dysfunction should be determined and attempts made to normalize function through organ support, alongside administration of antimicrobials. Doctors should investigate predictive factors, i.e. a rapid recovery in circulatory dysfunction or renal dysfunction after treatment is initiated, and CCI or ASA-PS scores; if it is decided that the patient can withstand surgery, early Lap-C can be performed by a specialist surgeon with extensive experience in a setting that allows for intensive care management. If it is decided that the patient cannot withstand surgery, conservative treatment including comprehensive management should be performed. Early biliary drainage should be considered if it is not possible to control the gallbladder inflammation. (Recommendation 2, level D)'

Reference: Okamoto K, Suzuki K, Takada T, et al. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: flowchart for the management of acute cholecystitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. 2018;25:55-72

**Appendix C – Clavien-Dindo Grading of Surgical Complications**

<b>Grade</b>	<b>Definition</b>
Grade I	Any deviation from the normal post-operative course not requiring surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention. This includes the need for certain drugs (e.g. antiemetics, antipyretics, analgesics, diuretics and electrolytes), treatment with physiotherapy and wound infections that are opened at the bedside
Grade II	Complications requiring drug treatments other than those allowed for Grade I complications; this includes blood transfusion and total parenteral nutrition (TPN)
Grade III	Complications requiring surgical, endoscopic or radiological intervention <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Grade IIIa - intervention not under general anaesthetic</li> <li>• Grade IIIb - intervention under general anaesthetic</li> </ul>
Grade IV	Life-threatening complications; this includes CNS complications (e.g. brain haemorrhage, ischaemic stroke, subarachnoid haemorrhage) which require intensive care, but excludes transient ischaemic attacks (TIAs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Grade IVa - single-organ dysfunction (including dialysis)</li> <li>• Grade IVb - multi-organ dysfunction</li> </ul>
Grade V	Death of the patient

## References

1. Roberts SE, Samuel DG, Williams JG, et al. Survey of digestive health across Europe: Final report. *United Eur Gastroenterol J*. 2014;2:539-543.
2. Strasberg SM. Clinical practice. Acute calculous cholecystitis. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;358:2801-2811.
3. Okamoto K, Suzuki K, Takada T, et al. Tokyo Guidelines 2018: flowchart for the management of acute cholecystitis. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci*. 2018;25:55-72
4. Yamashita Y, Takada T, Kawarada Y, et al. Surgical treatment of patients with acute cholecystitis: Tokyo guidelines. *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg* 2007; 14: 91–7.
5. Gurusamy KS, Davidson C, Gluud C, et al. Early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for people with acute cholecystitis. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;6:CD005440.
6. Cao AM, Eslick GD, Cox MR. Early cholecystectomy is superior to delayed cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis: a meta-analysis. *J Gastrointest Surg*. 2015;19(5):848-857.
7. Wu XD, Tian X, Liu MM, et al. Meta-analysis comparing early versus delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Br J Surg*. 2015;102(11):1302-1313.
8. Menahem B, Mulliri A, Fohlen A, et al. Delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy increases the total hospital stay compared to an early laparoscopic cholecystectomy after acute cholecystitis: an updated meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *HPB(Oxford)*. 2015;17(10):857-862.
9. Chok KS, Chu FS, Cheung TT et al. Results of percutaneous transhepatic cholecystostomy for high surgical risk patients with acute cholecystitis. *A N Z J Surg* 2010; 80: 280–283



10. Al-Jundi 2012 - Al-Jundi W, Cannon T, Antakia R et al. Percutaneous cholecystostomy as an alternative to cholecystectomy in high risk patients with biliary sepsis: a district general hospital experience. *Ann R Coll Surg Engl* 2012; 94: 99–101
11. Hsieh 2012 - Hsieh YC, Chen CK, Su CW et al. Outcome after percutaneous cholecystostomy for acute cholecystitis: a single-center experience. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2012; 16: 1,860–1,868
12. Li M, Li N, Ji W et al. Percutaneous cholecystostomy is a definitive treatment for acute cholecystitis in elderly high-risk patients. *Am Surg* 2013; 79 : 524–527
13. Itoi T, Tsuyuguchi T, Takada T, et al. TG13 indications and techniques for biliary drainage in acute cholangitis (with videos). *J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci* 2013; 20: 71–80.
14. Loozen C, van Santvoort H, van Duijvendijk, et al. laparoscopic cholecystectomy versus percutaneous catheter drainage for acute cholecystitis in high risk patients (CHOCOLATE): multicentre randomised clinical trial. *BMJ*. 2018;363.
15. Gorbalenya AE, Baker SC, Baric RS et al. (March 2020). [The species Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: classifying 2019-n CoV and naming it SARS-CoV-2".](#) *Nature Microbiol* 2020 5: 536–544.
16. <http://www.euro.who.int/en/health-topics/health-emergencies/coronavirus-covid-19/news/news/2020/3/who-announces-covid-19-outbreak-a-pandemic> [accessed 1st May 2020].
17. Royal College of Surgeons of England. Updated Intercollegiate General Surgery Guidance on COVID-19. London: Royal College of Surgeons of England, 2020 [viewed 24 April 2020]. Available at: <https://www.rcseng.ac.uk/coronavirus/joint-guidance-for-surgeons-v2/> [accessed 1<sup>st</sup> May 2020].

18. American College of Surgeons. COVID-19 Guidelines for Triage of Emergency General Surgery Patients. Chicago, IL: American College of Surgeons, 2020. <https://www.facs.org/covid-19/clinical-guidance/elective-case/emergency-surgery>. [accessed 24<sup>th</sup> April 2020].
19. Royal Australasian College of Surgeons. COVID-19 Guidelines for General Surgery. East Melbourne: Royal Australasian College of Surgeons, 2020. [https://www.generalsurgeons.com.au/media/files/News/DOC%202020-03-29%20COVID-19%20Guidelines%20for%20General%20Surgery\\_FINAL.pdf](https://www.generalsurgeons.com.au/media/files/News/DOC%202020-03-29%20COVID-19%20Guidelines%20for%20General%20Surgery_FINAL.pdf). [accessed 24 April 2020].
20. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform.* 2009;42(2):377–381.
21. Harris PA, Taylor R, REDCap Consortium et al. The REDCap consortium: Building an international community of software partners. *J Biomed Inform.* 2019;95:103208.
22. Vohra RS, Spreadborough P, Johnstone M, et al. Protocol for a multicentre, prospective, population-based cohort study of variation in practice of cholecystectomy and surgical outcomes (The CholeS study). *BMJ Open.* 2015;5(1):e006399.
23. CholeS Study Group, West Midlands Research Collaborative. Population-based cohort study of outcomes following cholecystectomy for benign gallbladder diseases. *Br J Surg.* 2016;103(12):1704-1715.